



Roaming Free?

Roaming network selection and Inter-operator tariffs

DotEcon Ltd
105-106 New Bond Street
London W1S 1DN
www.dotecon.com

Roger Salsas and Christian Koboldt

© •econ

Background



- ❑ In spite of the general fall in prices of mobile services, roaming charges have remained high
- ❑ Concerns about failure of competition and collusion in setting roaming charges (e.g. EU sector inquiry)
- ❑ However, an alternative explanation for high roaming charges exists:
price competition in roaming is ineffective because of technological and institutional constraints

Limits to price competition



- ❑ Effective competition requires that demand faced by individual firms responds strongly to changes in the prices they set
- ❑ Problems:
 - ❑ At the wholesale level, selection of visited network is largely random
 - ❑ At the retail level, lack of price transparency may dampen price competition
- ❑ Each operator's demand is relatively insensitive to demand

Approach



- Simple model
 - 2 countries,
 - 2 retail operators in one country
 - 2 wholesale operators in the other country
- Differentiated Bertrand competition at the retail level (depending on weighted average price of roaming with each retail operator)

Approach



❑ 2 stage price setting

- ❑ First stage: wholesale operators set inter-operator tariffs (IOTs)
- ❑ Second stage: retail operators set mark-ups on IOTs

❑ Number of scenarios

- ❑ Independent operators without traffic re-direction
- ❑ Cross-border merger (vertical integration) without traffic re-direction
- ❑ Cross-border merger with incentives for manual network selection through simplified calling plans
- ❑ SIM-based traffic redirection

Independent operators



□ Key results

- $IOT(1) \downarrow \Rightarrow IOT(2) \uparrow$
- Independently set IOTs are larger than jointly profit maximising IOT
- Operator with higher default share of roaming traffic sets lower IOT

□ Interpretation

- High IOTs can be explained without resort to collusion (collusive IOTs might even be lower)
- Lack of ability to attract traffic by lowering IOTs creates externality and perverse incentives

Cross-border merger



- ❑ Merger eliminates any 'double marginalisation' that may have existed as a result of imperfect retail competition, but does not solve the problem of high IOTs
- ❑ The average IOT even increases
 - ❑ Merged entity lowers IOT
 - ❑ Independent wholesaler increases IOT disproportionately
- ❑ Merger unprofitable ...
- ❑ ... unless combined with re-direction of traffic to merged entity's own network

User-based traffic redirection

•econ

- ❑ Simplified calling plans can provide incentives for manual network selection by users.
- ❑ The merged entity can offer unified cheaper rates for roaming on its own network
- ❑ Incentives for manually selecting the cheapest network drive traffic to visited network belonging to the merged entity

Merger with simplified tariffs



- ❑ Merged entity is largely unaffected by IOT set by independent wholesale operator
- ❑ Own IOT is only an internal transfer, but a true cost to independent retailer
- ⇒ Increase IOT to raise rival's cost
- ❑ Independent wholesaler lowers IOT (in order to keep independent retailer competitive)
- ❑ Average IOT (paid by independent retailer) falls
- ❑ Merger is profitable

SIM-based traffic redirection



- ❑ With SIM-based traffic redirection retail operators can respond to IOT differences
- ❑ The externality is removed as visited networks enjoy the full benefit of increased traffic when lowering prices
- ❑ Wholesale operators may benefit from moderate competition, but will lose as IOTs are driven towards cost
- ❑ Retail charges for roaming fall in response to falling IOTs

Conclusions



- ❑ Technological and institutional constraints and lack of price transparency lead to prices which can be higher than those achieved by collusion
- ❑ Cross-border mergers do not solve this problem unless accompanied by some sort of traffic redirection (e.g. simplified calling plans)
- ❑ SIM-based traffic redirection removes the externality on IOTs and allowing price to become the focus of competition